

**KRONENBERGER HANLEY, LLP**

Karl S. Kronenberger (*Pro Hac Vice Pending*)

Terri R. Hanley (*Pro Hac Vice Pending*)

220 Montgomery Street, Suite 1920

San Francisco, CA 94104

Telephone: (415) 955-1155

Facsimile: (415) 955-1158

P.J. Perrino, Jr. (Me. Bar No. 1675) (*Local Counsel*)

128 State Street, P.O. Box 49

Augusta, Maine 04332-0049

Telephone: (207) 622-1918

Facsimile: (207) 622-4531

Attorneys for Defendant

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MAINE**

**DAVID L. FREDRICK,**

Plaintiff,

vs.

**ST. MATTHEW'S UNIVERSITY  
(CAYMAN LTD.),**

Defendant.

Case No. 06-CV-00052-DBH

**REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE OF  
COURT DOCUMENTS, DISTRICT OF  
NEVADA (Case No. CV-S-05-0848-  
BES-LRL)**

1 Defendant ST. MATTHEW'S UNIVERSITY (CAYMAN LTD.) (hereafter "SMU")  
 2 hereby requests that the Court take judicial notice of the following documents, and their  
 3 respective attachments and exhibits thereto, relating to the action filed by Plaintiff St.  
 4 Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd) against, among other defendants, David L. Fredrick  
 5 (the plaintiff in this action, hereafter "FREDRICK") in the United States District Court,  
 6 District of Nevada (*St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd.) v. Saba University School of*  
 7 *Medicine Foundation*, Case Number CV-S-05-0848-BES-LRL):

- 8 1. Amended Complaint filed by Plaintiff St. Matthew's University (Cayman  
 9 Ltd) on October 28, 2005, and served on Defendant David L. Fredrick on  
 10 December 30, 2005, attached hereto as Exhibit A;
- 11 2. Affidavit of Service of Summons and First Amended Complaint on  
 12 Defendant David L. Fredrick, certifying service on Defendant David L.  
 13 Fredrick on December 30, 2005, attached hereto as Exhibit B;
- 14 3. Defendant David L. Fredrick's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint,  
 15 Affidavit of David L. Fredrick, filed on February 15, 2006, attached hereto  
 16 as Exhibit C;
- 17 4. Consolidated Opposition to Defendant David L. Fredrick's Motion to  
 18 Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint and Defendant Patricia Hough's, and  
 19 Defendant AAIMG's Motion to Dismiss the Second, Third, Fifth and Sixth  
 20 Claim filed by Plaintiff St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd.) on March 7,  
 21 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit D;
- 22 5. Declaration of Karl Kronenberger in Support of Plaintiff's Consolidated  
 23 Opposition to Defendant David L. Fredrick's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's  
 24 Complaint and Defendant Patricia Hough's, and Defendant AAIMG's  
 25 Motion to Dismiss the Second, Third, Fifth and Sixth Claim, filed by Plaintiff  
 26 St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd.) on March 7, 2006, attached hereto  
 27 as Exhibit E;
- 28

6. Reply of David L. Fredrick to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant David L. Fredrick's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed on March 20, 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit F;
7. Order To Lift Seal, entered and signed by the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt on March 3, 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit G;
8. Order For Alternative Service, entered and signed by the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt on March 3, 2006., attached hereto as Exhibit H; *and*
9. Case docket (as it appears on PACER) – current as of April 3, 2006, attached hereto as Exhibit I.

SMU submits this request pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 201, which authorizes the judicial notice of facts that are capable of accurate and ready determination by resort to sources whose accuracy cannot be reasonably be questioned, and which makes judicial notice of such matters mandatory if requested by a party and supplied with the necessary information. Fed. R. Evid. 201(b) & (d). Courts in the First Circuit have indicated that court files are among those matters of which a court must take judicial notice if supplied with the requisite information. *Graham V. Smith*, 292 F.Supp. 2d 153, nt. 2 (*citing* 21 Wright & Graham, Federal Practice and Procedure: Evidence § 5106; *Lussier v. Runyon*, 50 F.3d 1103, 1114 n. 14 (1<sup>st</sup> Cir.1995)); see also *Mullis v. United States Bank. Ct.*, 828 F.2d 1385, 1388, fn. 9 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1987).

The documents referenced above, with the exception of the case docket (Document #9), were all either filed with or issued from the Nevada Court, and are accordingly a part of the Nevada Court's file. For these reasons, SMU respectfully requests that this Court take judicial notice of these documents and consider the documents in connection with its ruling on SMU's Motion to Dismiss the Amended Complaint.

///

1 Respectfully Submitted,

2  
3 DATED: April 3, 2006.

4 **KRONENBERGER HANLEY, LLP**

5  
6 By: /s/ Karl S. Kronenberger

7 Karl S. Kronenberger  
8 Attorneys for Defendant  
9 ST. MATTHEW'S UNIVERSITY  
10 SCHOOL OF MEDICINE (CAYMAN )

11 DATED: April 4, 2006.

12 By: /s/ P.J. Perrino, Jr. \_\_\_\_\_

13 P.J. Perrino, Jr.  
14 Attorney for Defendant  
15 ST. MATTHEW'S UNIVERSITY  
16 SCHOOL OF MEDICINE (CAYMAN )

KRONENBERGER HANLEY, LLP  
220 Montgomery Street, Suite 1920  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
www.KronenbergerLaw.com

**DECLARATION OF KARL S. KRONENBERGER**

I, Karl S. Kronenberger, declare the following:

1. I am an attorney admitted to practice law before, and in good standing with, the state court of California, the federal district courts of the Northern and Central Districts of California, and the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

2. I am a partner at the law firm of Kronenberger Hanley, LLP, attorneys of record for Plaintiff St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd) in the action filed against, among other defendants, David L. Fredrick (the plaintiff in this action), in the United States District Court, District of Nevada (*St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd.) v. Saba University School of Medicine Foundation*, Case Number CV-S-05-0848-BES-LRL). The exhibits attached hereto are true and correct copies of documents related to this action filed in Nevada.

3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the Amended Complaint filed by St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd) on October 28, 2005, and served on Defendant David L. Fredrick on December 30, 2005.

4. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Affidavit of Service of Summons and First Amended Complaint on Defendant David L. Fredrick, certifying service on Defendant David L. Fredrick on December 30, 2005.

5. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of Defendant David L. Fredrick's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint, Affidavit of David L. Fredrick, filed on February 15, 2006.

6. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of the Consolidated Opposition to Defendant David L. Fredrick's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint and Defendant Patricia Hough's, and Defendant AAIMG's Motion to Dismiss the Second, Third, Fifth and Sixth Claim filed by Plaintiff St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd.) on March 7, 2006.

7. Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a true and correct copy of the Declaration of Karl Kronenberger in Support of Plaintiff's Consolidated Opposition to Defendant

1 David L. Fredrick's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint and Defendant Patricia  
2 Hough's, and Defendant AAIMG's Motion to Dismiss the Second, Third, Fifth and Sixth  
3 Claim, filed by Plaintiff St. Matthew's University (Cayman Ltd.) on March 7, 2006.

4 8. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of the Reply of  
5 David L. Fredrick to Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendant David L. Fredrick's Motion to  
6 Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint filed on March 20, 2006.

7 9. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of the Order To Lift  
8 Seal, entered and signed by the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt on March 3, 2006.

9 10. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of the Order For  
10 Alternative Service, entered and signed by the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt on March  
11 3, 2006.

12 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of the case docket  
13 as it appears on PACER – current as of April 3, 2006.

14  
15 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America  
16 that the foregoing is true and correct.

17  
18 DATED: April 3, 2006.

19  
20 By: /s/ Karl S. Kronenberger  
21 Karl S. Kronenberger  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

KRONENBERGER HANLEY, LLP  
220 Montgomery Street, Suite 1920  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
www.KronenbergerLaw.com

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I am a resident of the State of Maine, over the age of eighteen years and not a party to this action. My business address is 128 State Street, Augusta, Maine 04330.

On April 4, 2006, I served the following document(s):

**1. REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE; DECLARATION OF KARL S. KRONENBERGER IN SUPPORT THEREOF.**

on the parties listed below as follows:

**BARRY A. BACHRACH**  
Bowditch & Dewey, LLP  
311 Main Street  
PO Box 15156  
Worcester, MA 01615-0156  
Fax: 508-929-3003  
Counsel for Defendant  
FREDRICK

☐ BY FACSIMILE MACHINE (FAX), by personally transmitting a true copy thereof via an electronic facsimile machine to the fax number listed herein.

☒ BY FIRST CLASS MAIL, by placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid, for collection and mailing, in San Francisco, California, following ordinary business practices, which is deposited with the US Postal Service the same day as it is placed for processing.

☐ BY PERSONAL SERVICE, by personally delivering a true copy thereof to the addressee(s) listed herein at the location listed herein.

☐ BY OVERNIGHT DELIVERY containing a true copy thereof to the addressee(s) listed herein at the location listed herein.

☐ BY EMAIL to the addresses listed above.

☐ (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

☒ (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

/s/ Marcia Kulpa  
Marcia Kulpa